

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/617,910	ELLIOTT, GILLIAN DAPHNE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Susan Alpert Siegel, Ph.D..

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

19-21

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)